Sl. No.	Name of the Company/Unit	Installed Capacity (as on 01-04-2000	1999-2000 Pro-duction Target	Pro-duction Actual	%age Capacity Utilization
13.	TCL: Babrala	853.4	1048.0	982.3	132.3
14.	OCF: Shahjahanpur	853.4	943.0	871.7	120.1

STATEMENT - II

Unit-wise total cost input alongwith the stipulated limit of capital investment of the Urea producers under private sector:

Sl. No.	Name of the Company/Unit	Input cost (Rs./MT)	Project Cost (Rs. Crore)
1.	GSFC: Vadodara	7964	63.22
2.	SFC: Kota	8902	30.00
3.	DIL: Kanpur	10492	52.12
4.	ZIL: Goa	12097	48.82
5.	SPIC: Tuticorin	10601	73.56
6.	MCF: Mangalore	10261	74.90
7.	GNFC: Bharuch	5767	445.00
8.	NFCL: Kakinada-I	2079	1185.54
9.	NFCL: Kakinada-II	5724	970.00
10.	IGFCC: Jagdishpur	3964	701.52
11.	CFCL: Gadepan-I	3426	1153.15
12.	CFCL: Gadepan-II	6998	1256.00
13.	TCL: Babrala	3389	1479.74
14.	OCF: Shahjahanpur	3242	960.00

Revival of IDPL

622. SHRI DRUPAD BORGOHAIN: Will the Minister of CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS be pleased to state:

(a) what decision has been taken by BIFR for revival of IDPL;

(b) whether Government and the management have any consolidated plan to revive IDPL,

(c) if so, the details thereof; and

(d) if not, the reasons therefore?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI RAMESH BAIS): (a) In the hearing on the 5th July, 2000 the BIFR has passed orders for change of management under the relevant provisions of Sick Industrial Companies (Special Provisions) Act, 1985.

(b) to (d) A Revival plan with cut-off dates as March 31, and June 30, 2000 has been received from the management of IDPL. Separately, Government has constituted a Two Member Committee to examine the various falts of IDPL and to evolve a suitable methodology for its revival. In any case, the future of the company, including revival, would be determined by the proceeding and the final decision of the BIFR.

Revival of Durgapur Plant

* 623. SHRIMATI CHANDRA KALA PANDEY: Will the Minister of CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Management, Officers Association and Union of Durgapur Unit of HFC have submitted a detailed scheme to corporate Management for revival of Durgapur plant;

(b) if so, the cost of the project, unit cost of production and retention price as per the scheme;

(c) whether cost of production and retention price are comparable with existing Naphtha based plants in MFL, Zuari Agro and FACT; and

(d) if not, the actual figures of all Naphtha based urea manufacturing units in the country?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI RAMESH BAIS): (a) and (b) Yes, Sir. The scheme envisages an approximate project cost